21 2011 10:39PM Law Office of Jan	mes M Lea 802 8 ENTRAL BAX CENTER p. 1
	\ CdANQ_1 2011 C
Treceived the	real from the FIC
11/15 A	plication No. Applicant(s)
	V643,008 LOVETT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	aminer Art Unit
	LEN NGUYEN 3738
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	SET TO EXPIDE 3 MANTH(S) OF THIRTY (30) DAVE
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE	OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a), after SIX (6) MONTHS from the melling date of this communication. 	
 FNO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause 	the sociication to become ABANDONED (35 H S.C. 8 133)
Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Noven	nber 2010
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) <u>86-89.91-93.95-99.101-107.109.112-119</u>	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	om consideration.
6) Claim(s) <u>86-89.91-93.95-99.101-107.109.112-119</u>	and 40d 400 international
7) Claim(s) Is/are objected to.	<u>110 121-123</u> INVARE rejected.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement
	sour regeneration.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawl	
	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The path or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ier. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
spplication from the International Bureau (PC	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
•	
Attachment(e)	_
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summery (PTO-413)
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail-Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Poner Note Mail Date	n \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20110110

RECEIVED

Art Unit: 3736

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Pre-Appeal Conference decision dated 11/10/2010. Claims 86-89, 91-93, 95-99, 101-107, 109, 112-119, and 121-123 remain pending and under prosecution.

Ciaim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 86, 88-89, 91-93, 95-99, 101, 104, 106-107, 109, 112-117, and 121 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verrier et al (US Pat No. 5902250) in view of Cho et al (US Pub No. 20050119711), further in view of Forbes (US Pat No. 5187657).
- 4. In regard to Claims 86, 89, 91, and 104, Verrier et al disclose a method and apparatus for classifying sleep states comprising:

a detector system comprising sensors 12, 14 for detecting conditions related to sleep, the sleep-related conditions comprising a condition associated with a sleep-wake status of a patient such as patient activity or head movement (Col.5: 34-35) and a condition associated with REM sleep such as eyelid movement (Col.11: 29-35);

a classification system 34 for classifying one or more sleep states based on the detected conditions of REM sleep and sleep-wake status (Col.8: 39-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,006

Art Unit: 3736

- However, Verrier et al do not disclose classifying the one or more sleep states is performed at least in part implantably and does not disclose providing sleep state informed therapy. Verrier et al also do not disclose the first sensor is disposed on a cardiac rhythm management device which is implanted. It is noted that Verrier et al do disclose the invention also used for monitoring breathing patterns and heart function for the detection of sleep-related conditions (col.12-13). Cho et al disclose an effective implantable cardiac rhythm management device for the detection of sleep-related breathing conditions to provide the advantages of constant monitoring without the disadvantages associated with user-related use ($\P0010$). Cho et al also teach that the implantable device for detecting sleep-related conditions provides therapy (¶0016) to effectively treat the patient when certain conditions are detected. Cho et al also disclose a sensor 62 disposed on at least a portion of the implanted cardiac rhythm management device (¶0043-0044, also see 0016, 0032-0033).
- 6. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the invention of Verrier et al perform the classifying of one or more sleep states at least in part implantably using a cardiac rhythm management device with a sensor disposed on it as taught by Cho et al to improve the invention by allowing constant monitoring without requiring user involvement for use. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Verrier et al and Cho et al to provide sleep state informed therapy such as respiratory or cardiac therapy as taught by Cho et al to effectively treat the patient when respiratory or cardiac sleep-related conditions. are detected.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,006

Art Unit: 3736

- 7. However, Verrier et al and Cho et al do not disclose the condition associated with REMsleep comprises sensing a muscle tone in a pectoral region of the patient using the first sensor above. Forbes teaches that it is well known within the art to use a muscle atonia sensor to detect REM sleep (Col.3: 13-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the REM sensed condition of Verrier et al as modified by Cho et al with that of sensing a muscle tone in a pectoral region of the patient as taught by Forbes, wherein due to the modification of Verrier et al to be implantable by Cho et al the device is implanted in the chest and therefore by the pectoral muscles. . .
- 8. In regard to Claims 88, 106-107, and 109, Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Forbes disclose sensing muscle tone using a sensor mechanically coupled to an implantable medical device adapted for implantation in the pectoral region of the patient, wherein the position of the sensor as mounted on the housing is considered a header (see Cho ¶0032 pacemakers or ICDs).
- 9. In regard to Claims 92 and 112, Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Forbes disclose the method and apparatus for detecting the sleep-wake status of the patient by patient activity through sensor 14 but do not specifically disclose detecting the sleep-wake status using an accelerometer. However, Verrier et al do disclose that any suitable sensor for detecting movement can be used in place of sensor 14 (Col.7: 18-20). Because it is widely known that an accelerometer detects movement, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to detect the sleep-wake status of the patient using an

Application/Control Number: 10/643,006

Art Unit: 3736

accelerometer as an equally as effective sensor for detecting the patient activity and thus sleep-

wake status.

10. In regard to Claims 93 and 113, Cho et al disclose detecting the conditions related to

sleep comprises detecting body posture or torso orientation (¶0045).

11. In regards to Claims 95-98 and 114-117, Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and

Forbes disclose the sleep-wake status includes a patient activity signal, and wherein classifying .

includes determining sleep onset or offset by comparing the patient activity signal to a sleep

threshold, as well as determining REM sleep onset or offset by necessarily comparing the

pectoral muscle tone or lack thereof - atonia - to an REM sleep threshold (Verrier et al Col.9:

31-Col.11: 35).

12. In regard to Claims 101 and 121, Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Forbes

disclose detecting a cardiac signal and analyzing with an analyzer 34 the cardiac signal on a beat

to best basis (Verrier et al Col.8: 25-Col.10), wherein the therapy system of Cho et al is naturally

configured to provide therapy based on both the sleep state classification and the beat to beat

cardiac signal analysis for the reasons elaborated above (Verrier et al Col.9: 60-67, Col.12-13).

13. Claims 87 and 105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Verrier et al in view of Cho et al and Forbes, further in view of Hendricks et al (US Pat No.

6387907).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,006

Art Unit: 3736

- 14. Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Young disclose classifying REM sleep but do not disclose doing so by sensing muscle tone using an electromyogram sensor. Hendricks et al teach that REM is characterized by the lack of muscle tone, which can be determined through EMG activity (Col.10: 53-61). Since it is well known in the art that an electromyogram sensor senses EMG activity, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Verrier et al, Cho et al, and Forbes to sense REM sleep through muscle tone using an electromyogram sensor as taught by Hendricks et al as an equally as effective means of classifying REM sleep.
- 15. Claims 99 and 118-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verrier et al in view of Cho et al and Forbes, further in view of Tchou et al (US Pat No. 6572557).
- 16. Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Forbes disclose the invention above but do not explicitly disclose providing bradycardia pacing therapy. Tchou et al teach effectively providing bradycardia therapy in the presence of the specific arrhythmia (Col.7: 37-54). Since Verrier et al already disclose the detection of heart arrhythmias (Col.12: 2-41) and Cho et al teach the advantages of providing therapy as elaborated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Verrier et al as modified by Cho et al and Forbes in the manner above, to provide bradycardia pacing therapy as taught by Tchou et al in response to a detected cardiac signal indicating the condition, wherein it would have also been obvious to switch to a lower pacing rate based on the sleep state classification because it is known in the art that for example a higher pacing rate may

Art Unit: 3736

Page 7

awaken the patient and therefore a lower pacing rater would be desired if the patient is found to be in a sleep condition (Cho et al ¶0008).

- 17. Claims 102-103 and 122-123 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verrier et al in view of Cho et al and Forbes, further in view of Mathews et al (US Pub No. 20030111079), further in view of Cobb (US Pub No. 20040249299).
- 18. Verrier et al in combination with Cho et al and Forbes disclose the invention above but do not explicitly disclose declaring a hypopnea event if a detected tidal volume falls below a hypopnea threshold. Mathews et al teach that a hypopnea event is determined by comparing tidal volume against a hypopnea threshold, wherein a hypopnea event is determined when the tidal volume falls below, i.e. does not fall on, the threshold (¶0277). Further, Cobb teaches that hypopnea is recognized as falling between normal baseline values and an apneic threshold, i.e. an apnea threshold is lower than a hypopnea threshold (¶0115). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Verrier et al as modified by Cho et al and Forbes in the manner above, to declare a hypopnea event if a detected tidal volume falls below a hypopnea threshold as taught by Mathews et al and to also declare an apnea event if the tidal volume falls below an apnea threshold lower than the hypopnea threshold as taught by Cobb to effectively determine the presence of either hypopnea or apnea and subsequently provide the appropriate therapy.

Art Unit: 3736

Page 8

Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 20. It is noted that applicant's specification disclose that "As previously described, the medical device 801 may be implanted into a pectoral region or other suitable location, allowing access to skeletal muscle by the muscle atonia sensor 850" (¶0093). Thus, the rejection above follows the same reasoning in that, a suitable muscle atonia sensor also implanted into a pectoral region will enable determination of REM sleep through sensing of pectoral muscle tone.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HELEN NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 am - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1430

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/503,687	08/14/2006	Steve Foldesi JR.	, 184-001	1426
26542 JAMES MARI	7590 01/19/201 C LEAS	1	EXAM	INER ,
Law Office Of James Marc Leas		SMALLEY, JAMES N		
37 BUTLER DRIVE S. BURLINGTON, VT 05403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3781		
•	·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		01/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.